COOPER & DUNHAM LLP

1185 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, NEW YORK, N. Y. 10036

TELEPHONE: (212) 278-0400

GERALD W. GRIFFIN
INAM S. KAVRUKOV
PETER D. MURRAY
JAY H. MAIOLI
ROBERT B. G. HOROWITZ
ROBERT D. GAIZ
DONNA A. TOBIN
RICHARD S. MILNER
MATTHEW B. TROPPER
RRIETINA L. KONSTAS
MARK S. COMEN
PAUL TEMO
GERARD M. WISSING
JA K. MODOM
MICHELLE A. SHERWOOD
NANY CATHERINE DANURZIO
DICHARD F. JAWORSKI

CHRISTOPHER C. DUNHAM NORMAM M. RIVIN JOHN P. WHITE WILLIAM E. POLTON DOMALD S. DOWDEN PETER J. PHILLIPS WEMPY E. MILLER ALBERT WAI-RIF CHAN LEWIS J. KREISLER ROBERT T. MALDONADO REGINA M. AMBERT MARY ANNE P. TANNER TIMOTHY X. WITKOWSKI JOHN J. SKINNER, JR. GEORGE M. MACDONALD ELIZABETH M. WIEXKOWSKI FACSIMILE: (212) 391-0525 (212) 391-0526 (212) 391-0630

OF COUNSEL
JOHN N. COUPER
THOMAS F. MORAN
JOHN R. GARBER
THOMAS G. CARULLI

ECIENTIFIC ADVISORS NATHAN P. LETTS, PH. D. JANE M. LOVE, PH. D. CHARLES C. ACHKAR, PH. D. LOCK SEE YU-JAHNES, PH. D.

PATENT AGENTS VICTOR DEVITO, B. S. E. E. ANY_L GERSHIK, B. S. CHEM. E.

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

*BAR ADMISSION PERDING

77 F 77 A 77	DIST YEARS	of the TTD	DOT TOUTHOU	DACEC
PLISASE	DELL VER	Inc	FOLLOWING	EWGDG

TO :	Kxaminer Anchony Caputa
COMPANY/FIRM :	U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (Group 1817)
FACSIMILE NO.:	703-308-4242
FROM :	John P. White, Esq. /Ja K. Moon, Esq.

IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL THE PAGES, PLRASE CALL BACK AS SOON AS POSSIBLE TO (212) 278-0400.

MESSAGE: 1747/43016-A-PCT-US

Communication In Response To June 25, 1997 Office Action in connection with Philip O. Livingston and Friedhelm Helling, U.S. Serial No. 08/196,154, filed November 16, 1995 for GANGLIOSIDE-KLH CONJUGATE VACCINES WITH QS-21, including a Facsimile Certificate of Transmission dated July 21, 1997.

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION IS INTENDED SOLELY FOR THE PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL USE OF THE DESIGNATED RECIPIENT(S) NAMED ABOVE. THIS TRANSMISSION MAY BE AN ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION CONTAINING INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT A DESIGNATED RECIPIENT OR AN AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING IT TO A DESIGNATED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS DOCUMENT IN ERROR, AND THAT ANY REVIEW, DISTRIBUTION, OR COPYING OF THIS MESSAGE IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECRIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, OR IF UPON READING THIS DOCUMENT YOU HAVE REASON TO BELIEVE THAT THE DOCUMENT WAS INADVERTENTLY SENT TO YOU, PLEASE NUTIFY US INHEDIATELY BY COLLECT TELEPHONE CALL AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US BY MAIL. THANK YOU.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Dkt. 43016-A-PCT-US/JPW/AKC

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants

Philip O. Livingston and Friedhelm Helling

U.S. Serial No.:

08/196,154

Group Unit: 1817

Filed

November 16, 1995

Examiner: A. Caputa

For

GANGLIOSIDE-KLH CONJUGATE VACCINES WITH QS-

1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10036 July 21, 1997

Assistant Commissioner for Patents

Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

PACSIMILE CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION IN CONNECTION WITH THE ABOVE-IDENTIFIED APPLICATION

I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on the date indicated above and is addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231:

Printe Name: a K. Moon

Respectfully submitted,

John P. White

Registration No. 28,678 Attorney for Applicant(s) Cooper & Dunham LLP

1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10036

(212) 278-0400

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

w13

Applicants

Philip O. Livingston and Friedhelm Helling

U.S. Serial No.:

08/196,154

Group Unit: 1817

Filed

November 16, 1995

Examiner: A. Caputa

For

GANGLIOSIDE-KLH CONJUGATE VACCINES WITH

QS-21 .

1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10036 July 21, 1997

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

COMMUNICATION IN RESPONSE TO JUNE 25, 1997 OFFICE ACTION

This Communication is submitted in response to the Office Action issued June 25, 1997 by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office in connection with the above-identified application. A response to the June 25, 1997 Office Action is due July 25, 1997. Accordingly, this Communication is being timely filed.

REMARKS

Claims 44-68 are pending and under examination in the subject application.

The Examiner stated that the Communication filed on December 16, 1996 is non-responsive to the prior Office Action because it is not clear from applicants' response if applicants traverse the provisional rejection under 35 U.S.C. 101 as set forth in the last Office Action. The Examiner stated that applicants' response that the "provisional rejection" should be withdrawn in view of the arguments under 35 U.S.C. 103 and 112 would appear to address the obvious-type double-patenting since an obvious-type rejection is analogous to 35 U.S.C. 103. The Examiner stated that since the response appears to be bona

Philip O. Livingston and Friedhelm Helling U.S. Serial No.: 08/477,097 Filed: June 7, 1995 Page 2

fide, but through an apparent oversight or inadvertence failed to provide a complete response, applicants are required to complete the response within a time limit of one month from the date of this letter or within the time remaining in the response period of the last office action, whichever is longer. The Examiner stated that no extension of this time limit may be granted under either 37 C.F.R. 1.136 (a) or (b), but the period for response set in the last office action may be extended up to a maximum of six months.

In response, applicants respectfully traverse the Examiner's provisional double patenting rejection. Applicants further point out that for a provisional double-patenting rejection, M.P.E.P. §804 requires that the:

'provisional' double patenting rejection should continue to be made by the Examiner in each application as long as there are conflicting claims application more than one unless 'provisional' double patenting rejection is the only rejection remaining in one of the applications. If the 'provisional' double patenting rejection in one application is the only rejection remaining in that application, the Examiner should then withdraw that rejection and permit the application to issue as a patent, thereby converting the 'provisional' double patenting rejection in the application(s) into a double patenting rejection at the time the one application issues as a patent.

Therefore, applicants maintain that even if the Examiner continues to reject the claims of the subject application because they supposedly conflict with the claims of U.S. Serial Nos. 08/477,147 and 08/481,809, the provisional rejection under 35 U.S.C. §101 should be withdrawn. Since applicants'

Philip O. Livingston and Friedhelm Helling U.S. Serial No.: 08/477,097 Filed: June 7, 1995

Page 3

arguments overcome the other rejections of this application, specifically the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112 and §103, the provisional rejection would be the only rejection remaining in the subject application. Therefore, the Examiner should then withdraw the provisional rejection and permit the application to issue as a patent.

In view of the foregoing statements, applicants respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw the rejection based on provisional double patenting.

If a telephone interview would be of assistance in advancing prosecution of the subject application, applicants' undersigned attorney invites the Examiner to telephone him at the number provided below.

No fee is deemed necessary in connection with the filing of this Communication. However, if any other fee is required, authorization is hereby given to charge the amount of such fee to Deposit Account No. 03-3125.

Respectfully submitted,

- AR PUN

John P. White
Registration No. 28,678
Attorney for Applicant(s)
Cooper & Dunham LLP

1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10036

(212) 278-0400